```
United States District Court
 1
                    Southern District of California
 2
 3
 4
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 5
                     Plaintiff,
 6
                                    ) Case No. 10-CR-4246 JM
         vs.
                                    ) Jury Trial/Day 11
 7
    BASAALY SAEED MOALIN,
                                   ) Tuesday, February 12, 2013
     MOHAMAD MOHAMUD
 8
                                    ) Volume 11
     ISSA DOREH,
     AHMED NASIR TAALIL MOHAMUD,
 9
                     Defendants.
10
11
                Before the Honorable Jeffrey T. Miller
12
                      United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
     Official Interpreters: Ayderus Ali, CCI
                              Fanik Jama, CCI
21
     Official Court Reporter: Debra M. Henson, CSR, RPR
22
                              U.S. Courthouse
                              221 W. Broadway, Suite 5190
23
                              San Diego, CA 92101
                               (619) 238-4538
24
25
               Record produced by stenographic reporter
```

1	Appearances	
2	For the Government:	Laura E. Duffy UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
3		William P. Cole Caroline P. Han
4		ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEYS Steven P. Ward, Trial Attorney
5		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 880 Front Street, Suite 6293
6		San Diego, CA 92101
7	For the Defendants: (Mr. Moalin)	Joshua L. Dratel, Esq.
8	(Alice Fontier, Esq. OFFICE OF JOSHUA L. DRATEL
9		2 Wall Street, Third Floor New York, NY 10005
10	(Mr. M. Mohamud)	Linda Moreno, Esq.
11		LINDA MORENO, P.A. P.O. Box 10985
12		Tampa, FL 33679
13	(Mr. Doreh)	Ahmed Ghappour, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF AHMED GHAPPOUR
14		P.O. Box 20367 Seattle, WA 98102
15	(Mr. A. Mohamud)	Thomas A. Durkin, Esq. Janis Roberts, Esq. DURKIN & ROBERTS
16		
17		2446 N. Clark Street Chicago, IL 60614
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	II	

```
San Diego, California - Tuesday, February 12, 2013
 1
 2
          (Defendant A. Mohamud is being assisted by a Somali
 3
     interpreter.)
 4
               THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Looks like
 5
    everyone is present, jurors, counsel, parties. Ready to go
 6
    with some additional deposition testimony, as I understand.
 7
    We're finishing up with Mr. Mohamed on redirect examination,
 8
     correct?
 9
               MS. FONTIER: That's correct, your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: Okay.
          (The video recording was played to the end.)
11
12
               THE COURT: All right, counsel. Next?
13
               MS. FONTIER: Thank you, your Honor. The next
14
    witness for the defense will be Sheik Abdul Rahman Geedow
15
     Qorow, which is Sheik, S-h-e-i-k Abdul, A-d-b-u-l, Rahman,
16
    R-a-h-m-a-n, Geedow, G-e-e-d-o-w, Qorow, Q-o-r-o-w. And he
17
    was also deposed in Djibouti during the week of November 11
     of 2012.
18
19
               THE COURT: Would you please repeat the penultimate
20
     portion of that name.
21
               MS. FONTIER: Geedow Qorow?
22
               THE COURT: Was that one name there?
23
               MS. FONTIER: It's --
24
               THE COURT: Geedow, before Qorow.
25
               MS. FONTIER: It's two words, Geedow, G-e-e-d-o-w,
```

```
and Qorow is Q-o-r-o-w.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. So it's Sheik Abdul Rahman
 3
     Geedow Qorow; is that correct?
 4
               MS. FONTIER: Correct, your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: All right. And his deposition was
 6
    taken on what date, Ms. Fontier?
 7
               MS. FONTIER: Mr. Rahman, for short, was deposed in
 8
     Djibouti during the week of November 11 of 2012.
 9
               THE COURT: And, ladies and gentlemen of the jury,
10
    as with all the other deposition testimony you've heard, you
11
    are to assume that deposition testimony was given here in
12
     court and consider it by the same criteria you would use for
13
     all other witnesses.
14
          (The video recording was played.)
15
               MS. FONTIER: Your Honor, may we have a very brief
16
     sidebar to address the deposition?
17
               THE COURT: Okay.
18
          (Following is a sidebar conference.)
19
               MS. FONTIER: I believe they were intending to
20
     forego the cross-examination, playing it for the jury, but
21
     there are portions of it that we would like to play. It's
22
    not edited in, so I don't know --
23
               MR. DRATEL: I think just play it through rather
24
     than --
25
               MR. COLE: Just trying to -- we don't think we even
```

```
crossed -- we did it there, we crossed just to preserve it,
 1
 2
    but we don't need any cross of this witness, so if they want
 3
    some portions specifically that they think they need, we can
 4
     play that portion; we could edit it and play that portion --
 5
               THE COURT: How long --
 6
               MR. COLE: -- or read that portion.
 7
               THE COURT: How long -- I appreciate your desire
 8
    not to inflict further misery on the jury. And I say that
 9
     lightheartedly.
10
              MS. FONTIER: Yes.
11
               THE COURT: I think you realize that's said
12
     jokingly. This was a very short deposition. However, that
13
    being said, I know we're going to be playing substantially
14
    more deposition testimony. How long was the cross; do you
15
    recall?
16
              MS. HAN: About 15 pages? It's probably half an
17
    hour.
18
               THE COURT: Was there any particular -- you
19
    probably can't get to it without -- if that's possible.
20
               MS. FONTIER: I mean there's just a portion that I
21
    would --
22
               MR. DRATEL: It's actually easier to just play it
23
    through.
24
               THE COURT: Wow, you --
25
              MR. DRATEL: It's in the record. We have a right
```

```
to put it in. Its in the record. I've lost this motion under Rule 15, you know, where we wanted to withdraw something and the government wanted to put it in -- not in this case, but it's -- the law is pretty clear we can put it in.

MS. MORENO: I would join in that, your Honor. I would object to any editing of cross-examination of this
```

THE COURT: Was there any -- after the cross-examination was there any redirect?

MR. DRATEL: Yes.

witness.

MS. FONTIER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And was it on the cross-examination?

MS. FONTIER: Yes.

MR. COLE: All right. I mean we -- just so you know, your Honor, there's another deposition coming that's really long -- it's Hassan Guled -- and I wanted to edit the cross down to about 45 just to not waste the jury's time and I said only that to the defense, and they -- at least they're aware of what we want to do, that we're not interested in the cross-examination, but we -- we understand when they object that they want the whole thing played, but we've let them know in the future that's exactly what it is so we can try to just not play stuff that's just --

THE COURT: Well -- and I think you're raising a

good point. First of all, this cross-examination can come 1 2 It's not particularly lengthy; it may take --3 MR. COLE: Okay. 4 THE COURT: -- 15 minutes or so. But on the next 5 deposition that's apparently very lengthy -- I mean if you 6 can work together to further edit this, go ahead. I mean as 7 long as you're not losing anything, the defense is not losing 8 anything, I completely agree with Mr. Cole. I've said it myself: These deposition transcripts should have been edited 9 10 to eliminate a lot of -- frankly, to eliminate the 11 objections, all the objections and the rulings on objections; 12 that could have been done easily. Well, maybe not always 13 because I know there's quite a bit of overlap actually as I 14 recall. But let's just get through the process here. If you 15 can work on the additional transcripts, do that, okay? 16 (Sidebar conference concludes.) 17 MS. FONTIER: May I continue, your Honor? 18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 (The video recording was played.) 20 THE COURT: May I see counsel, please, over here at 21 the side of the bench. 22 (Following is a sidebar conference.) 23 THE COURT: This is a total waste of time. 24 inflict ten minutes on the jury of what the juxtaposition of 25 the numbers in a telephone number is really asking a lot of

the jury. Just listen. I realize that the suggestion from Mr. Cole came late, but not every suggestion the other side makes is a bad suggestion just because it's made by the other side. So for any further depositions, if you have the time, please edit this stuff out and think about -- think about the jury. This is brutal stuff. I mean it's -- I agree with the government. I realize there may be some practical considerations here, you having the time to do it and all of that. My gosh, this should have been done long before now. And I know you've been working on other things, but let's keep our eye on the ball here and to the extent you have to edit what's left here, please do.

MR. DRATEL: Your Honor, we'll get the other deposition. I just -- well, I'll say we've got these last night after court and they want to take up the whole thing -- I can't make any suggestion as to this witness, so --

THE COURT: Well, their suggestions as to reading their portions they wanted to have come in, which would be okay, that seems to be a reasonable alternative that you might consider for other stuff. And then you can play the redirect if you want, all the redirect can come in. I'm just saying --

MR. COLE: There is no redirect of this witness.

THE COURT: There's no redirect?

MS. FONTIER: I don't recall honestly.

```
MR. COLE: I just looked at the transcript.
 1
 2
     There's none. We have given them -- I know it was a late
 3
    suggestion from us, but we've given them the long deposition
 4
    where we really could perhaps save some time. They have it.
 5
     It's marked up very clearly. We would cut it out.
 6
     there's some portion they don't want cut out, we can go back
 7
     and revisit that. We will make the edits so that they don't
 8
    have to --
 9
               THE COURT: You can do that?
              MR. COLE: Our LSU is working on it now. We can do
10
11
     it if --
               THE COURT: What's an LSU?
12
13
               MR. COLE: Sorry. Ray and the guys, our litigation
14
     support unit.
15
               THE COURT: Okay.
16
               MR. COLE: In any event, we will work with them if
17
     there is a way to cut it down.
18
               THE COURT: All right. I want the jury to key on
19
     the important stuff from both sides obviously and not ten
20
    minutes on whether the 2 goes before the 5 or the 5 goes
21
    before the 2. And a lot of this is cumulative at this point.
22
     There is so much answering and answering of questions in
23
     these depositions, okay? For example, let me get this
24
    straight now, you testified that... if it were here in court,
25
    it wouldn't come in; these objections would be sustained.
```

```
Work together, please. I know we're limited in -- as to what
 1
 2
    we can do on this one, but, please, in the future. Thank
 3
    you.
          (Sidebar conference concludes.)
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. We're
 6
    going to continue on with this deposition testimony.
 7
          (The video recording was played.)
               MS. FONTIER: Your Honor, that would conclude this
 8
 9
    witness's testimony.
               THE COURT: Okay. Good timing. It's 10:30.
10
11
    Ladies and gentlemen, we'll take our midmorning recess at
12
     this time, 15 minutes, and then resume thereafter. Please
    remember the admonition. Thank you.
13
14
          (There was a break in the proceedings.)
15
               THE COURT: Okay. We have everyone present. Mr.
16
     Cole?
17
               MR. COLE: Yes, your Honor. Just some housekeeping
18
     for the record. The government is withdrawing Government's
    Exhibit 203 and 204 for the record.
19
20
          (Exhibit Nos. 203, 204 withdrawn.)
21
               THE COURT: Very good. All right, ladies and
22
     gentlemen. You may want to make a note of that. By the way,
23
    when you do begin your deliberations on this case, we will
24
    provide you with an exhibit list. You'll be provided with
```

one exhibit list and we'll have all of the exhibits that are

25

```
actually in evidence. It's not always the case that every
 1
 2
     exhibit that's referred to during the course of a trial comes
 3
    into evidence. The majority do, but there are some that
 4
     don't come in for one reason or another, so you'll have that
 5
     list at a later time. Okay. I think we're on to some
 6
    transcript reading at this point; is that --
 7
               MR. DURKIN: Yes, Judge, and it'll be very
 8
    exciting.
 9
               THE COURT: Okay. If you say so. We'll take that
10
    as your assurance.
11
               MR. DURKIN: Ms. Roberts is going to read some
12
     selected transcripts. They are in a white binder that --
13
     that is been passed out by your clerk to the jury, marked
     defendant Ahmed Nasir Taalil Mohamud exhibits.
14
15
               THE COURT: Okay.
               MR. DURKIN: I'm going to be relegated to the role
16
17
     of putting them up on the Elmo, and Ms. Roberts will do the
18
     speaker.
19
               THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Roberts, are you doing both
20
     parties or all parties in these transcripts?
21
               MS. ROBERTS: I am, Judge.
22
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And there
     are -- as I understand, there are two exhibits toward the end
23
24
     of the -- the end of the --
25
                MS. ROBERTS: That's correct, Judge. There are
```

two exhibits in the notebook, Exhibit Number-AN-53-S1 and -53-S2 which will be coming in later by stipulation. But all the other exhibits AN-1 through AN-2-T, and AN-1 and AN-2-T have been previously admitted.

THE COURT: Okay. Would you like to have those additional exhibits at the end of the notebook admitted at this point so that you don't need to --

MR. COLE: So stipulated, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- bother with it later? Agreed?

MS. ROBERTS: That's fine, Judge. They are both spreadsheets, summary spreadsheets, that have been extracted from Government's Exhibit 53, which are the Shidaal records.

THE COURT: Okay. Then, ladies and gentlemen, although they're not being referred to right now, the two exhibits at the end of this particular notebook, AN-53-S1 and AN-53-S2, are admitted at this time by stipulation of the parties. Okay.

(Exhibit Nos. AN-53-S1, AN-53-S2 identified and admitted.)

MS. ROBERTS: The first call, Judge, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, AN-1-T, is from a tape recorded conversation dated 12-20-07 between Basaaly Moalin and Ahmed Nasir Taalil Mohamud, and it is a call that was not included in the government's notebook and therefore we'll be reading the whole call.

THE COURT: Okay.

1 (The transcription was read.)
2 MS. ROBERTS: The next i

MS. ROBERTS: The next is AN-122-T, which corresponds to a clip already in evidence, the Government's Exhibit 122, a phone call on December 22, 2007 between Basaaly Moalin and Ahmed Nasir Taalil Mohamud. The beginning with the time stamps is the government's clip, and I will be reading the portion that has been now added by the defense. It begins on page 2 of 9.

(The transcription was read.)

MS. ROBERTS: And then there was more government transcript, and on page -- the bottom of page 6, there are some further defense additions. Following the time stamp 10:49, Basaaly says: Let me know by the will of God.

(The transcription was read.)

MS. ROBERTS: Next is Exhibit AN-125-T, a call from December 28, 2007 between Basaaly Moalin and Ahmed Nasir Taalil Mohamud -- I feel like I'm running out of steam.

THE COURT: Would you like some water?

MS. ROBERTS: I'm fine. These are short, Judge.

-- that corresponds to Government's Exhibit 125 in your

notebook. It's a government clip, and the portions that are

time-stamped are from the government's clip and the portions

without a time stamp are the defense additions, which I will

read. On page 1 of 3, following a brief introduction.

(The transcription was read.)

```
MS. ROBERTS: And then the tape continues with the
 1
 2
     government's clip.
 3
               And finally, Defense Exhibit AN-127-T, a call from
 4
     December 29, 2007 between Basaaly Moalin and Ahmed Nasir
 5
     Taalil Mohamud, which corresponds to government's clip and
 6
    Exhibit 127. And, again, the portions from the government's
 7
     clip have the time stamps; the defense additions are without
 8
    a time stamp. Beginning at very beginning of the tape.
          (The transcription was read.)
 9
10
               MS. ROBERTS: And that's the only four we're going
11
     to read in at this time, Judge.
12
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Okay.
13
    Mr. Dratel, Ms. Fontier?
14
               MR. DRATEL: Yes.
15
               THE COURT: What's in store for us?
16
               MR. DRATEL: We have another deposition, your
17
    Honor.
18
               THE COURT: Another deposition? Okay. Want to get
19
    that ready and --
20
               MS. FONTIER: Your Honor, the next witness for the
21
     defense will be Osman Isse Nuur. Osman is O-s-m-a-n, Isse is
22
     I-s-s-e, and Nuur is N-o-r (sic). Mr. Nuur was also deposed
23
     in Djibouti during the week of November 11 of 2012.
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
24
25
          (The video recording was played.)
```

```
MS. FONTIER: Your Honor, that would conclude
 1
 2
    Mr. Nuur's testimony. And if I may just correct for the
 3
    record, I spelled Mr. Nuur's last name incorrectly; it's
 4
    N-u-u-r.
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Thank you. Do you
 6
    have a ten-minute deposition?
 7
               MS. FONTIER: We do not.
               MR. DRATEL: We can read some of our calls --
 8
               THE COURT: Okay. We'll take advantage of that
 9
10
     time. You'll be reading from transcripts in the blue binder?
11
               MR. DRATEL: Yes, your Honor, blue-paper binder.
12
     This is TT-121, page 6. We'll start with the defense portion
13
    not introduced by government. There's a legend in the middle
14
     of the page. Ms. Fontier will be Basaaly Moalin, and I will
15
    be Issa Doreh.
16
          (The transcription was read.)
17
              MR. DRATEL: Now we're going to move on to 124,
18
     TT-124. We're at page 2.
19
               THE COURT: You're skipping 123 for the time being?
20
              MR. DRATEL: Yes, for the time being. We're not
21
     going to do 124 either because that -- we're going to move to
22
     TT-130 for now, your Honor.
23
               MS. FONTIER: Sorry, your Honor. TT-130 and -131
24
    were previously read by Mr. Dratel and Mr. Chang, so I
25
    believe we'll be moving to TT-134.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. So we go from 121 to 134 now?
 1
 2
               MR. DRATEL: Right. This is a January 15, 2008
 3
     conversation between Basaaly Moalin and Abdulkadir.
 4
               MS. FONTIER: And, again, I will read the portions
 5
    of the -- when the speaker is Basaaly Moalin.
 6
               MR. DRATEL: And we're at page 2 of the transcript
 7
    at the top of the page.
          (The transcription was read.)
 8
               MR. DRATEL: Your Honor, I don't know if you want
 9
10
    to split it here or something or --
11
               THE COURT: We can stop. We can stop now, and
12
    we'll pick up let's say at 1:35, ladies and gentlemen.
13
    Remember the admonition not to discuss the case or make any
14
    decisions at this time. Thank you.
15
          (There was a break in the proceedings.)
               THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, ladies and
16
17
     gentlemen. I think we have everyone present, and we're ready
18
    to resume with the reading of 134, continuing on?
19
               MR. DRATEL: Yes, 134, starting at the top of
20
     page 13 of TT-134.
21
               THE COURT: I think we are ready.
22
               MR. DRATEL: I'm Mr. Abdulkadir, and Ms. Fontier is
23
    Basaaly Moalin.
24
          (The transcription was read.)
25
               MR. DRATEL: And that is where the defense portion
```

```
not introduced by the government ends. And there's another
 1
 2
    portion of the defense portion that begins at page 18 at the
 3
    bottom. Again, it's Abdulkadir.
 4
          (The transcription was read.)
 5
               MR. DRATEL: And that's the end of that call.
 6
               MS. FONTIER: The next call, TT-135, was read by
 7
    Mr. Chang previously. We just want to clarify before -- your
 8
    Honor, the next -- for the Court, the next call that we'll be
 9
    reading is Defense Exhibit TT-143. This is a call between
10
    Basaaly and Sheikalow.
11
               MR. DRATEL: And the date is February 14, 2008.
               THE COURT: Part of this has already been read, and
12
13
    you're going to be starting on page 4; is that correct?
14
              MS. FONTIER: That's correct, your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: All right. Let people get to that,
16
     please. Exhibit TT-143?
17
              MR. DRATEL: Yes, your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: Page 4.
19
               MR. DRATEL: Okay. I'll be Sheikalow, and Ms.
20
     Fontier again will be Mr. Moalin.
21
          (The transcription was read.)
22
               MS. FONTIER: That is the end of TT-143. TT-144
23
    was previously read. If I could have one moment to consult
24
    with Mr. Ghappour. We are now turning to defense Exhibit
25
     TT-156, which this call is April 21, 2008, and involves
```

Basaaly Moalin, Issa Doreh, and Najib Mohamed.

MR. DRATEL: Okay. This is where defense portion not introduced by the government starts, at the top of page 2. I will be Issa Doreh and Najib Mohamed to the extent that he appears in this section, and he does. Okay. I'll be both, and I'll identify when it's not Mr. Doreh.

(The transcription was read.)

MS. FONTIER: The defense portion that was not introduced by the government ends there, and the portion introduced by the government begins and continues to the end of the call. Sorry, your Honor. One moment.

Your Honor, the next exhibit that we'd be reading is Defense Exhibit TT-167-A. This is dated April 30, 2008, between Basaaly and Abukar. For the record, the transcripts that are labeled, and for the ease of the jury, with an A number or an A or a B, the letter, they follow a transcript that is in evidence such as 167, but were not themselves admitted. So this is a new call.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DRATEL: I will be Abukar.

MS. FONTIER: And I will of course continue as Basaaly.

(The transcription was read.)

MS. FONTIER: That is the end of TT-167-A. The next call will be Defense Exhibit TT-167-B as in boy. This

```
is also on the same day, April 30 of 2008, just later in the
 1
 2
     day at 2102 between Basaaly and Mohamed, so again I will be
 3
    Basaaly.
 4
               MS. MORENO: And I will be Mohamed.
 5
          (The transcription was read.)
 6
               MR. DRATEL: I just wanted to clarify that Mohamed
 7
    on that call, the part that Ms. Moreno read, was not Sheik
 8
    Mohamad, her client.
 9
               MS. MORENO: Counsel mistakenly thought it was my
     client.
10
11
               THE COURT: Pardon me?
               MS. MORENO: Counsel mistakenly thought it was my
12
13
     client. It was not my client. The government so agrees.
14
    Thank you.
               MS. FONTIER: The next call will be Defense Exhibit
15
16
     TT-169-A. This is a May 1st, 2008 call. The time is 3:22.
17
     this is between Mr. Moalin and -- the speaker is not
18
     identified. This call was not played by the government.
19
          (The transcription was read.)
20
               MS. FONTIER: The next call will be Defense Exhibit
21
     TT-169-B. This is also from May 1st, 2008, a few minutes
22
     later at 3:31. It involves Mr. Moalin, Maryam and Najib.
23
               MR. DRATEL: I will be both Najib and Maryam.
          (The transcription was read.)
24
25
               MR. DRATEL: That's the end of that recording.
```

```
MS. FONTIER: One more for the moment, your Honor.
 1
 2
               MR. DRATEL: TT-171, May 1st, 2008, Basaaly Moalin,
 3
     Issa Doreh, and a couple of unknown males, there will be four
 4
     unknown males. I will play all the unknown males and Issa
 5
     Doreh.
 6
               MS. FONTIER: The portion of the defense transcript
 7
     that the government did not introduce begins on page 2 with
 8
    Basaaly.
 9
          (The transcription was read.)
               MS. FONTIER: Your Honor, we would stop reading
10
11
    here, this portion, this -- taxi discussions. And then the
12
     following portion beginning on page 9 was introduced by the
13
     government for the remainder of the call or should have been
14
     the government.
15
               JUROR CLARK: I think there's an error in my book.
16
               THE COURT: Well -- oh, you didn't have 171?
17
               JUROR CLARK: I have 191.
18
               THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll get a 171 to you,
    Ms. Clark. Extra copy of 171 should be provided to
19
    Ms. Clark.
20
21
               MS. FONTIER: Yes, your Honor.
                           Thank you, Ms. Clark. Was that the
22
               THE COURT:
23
     last transcript that will be read at this time?
               MS. FONTIER: If I may consult with counsel for a
24
25
    moment.
```

THE COURT: Okay. Sure. 1 2 MS. FONTIER: We will be going back towards the 3 beginning of the book to Defense Exhibit TT-123. This is a 4 call on December 28 of 2007 between Basaaly Moalin and Issa 5 Doreh. 6 THE COURT: Okay. I want to do something just to 7 complete the record here on the last, which is 171. You stopped reading on page 7 near the top. 8 9 MS. FONTIER: Yes, that's correct, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. So basically all of page 7 --11 there's a reference to two old ladies; that's dispatch. Why don't we -- you're eliminating then -- you did not read 12 13 page 7, page 8, and the top three blocks of page 9; is that 14 correct? 15 MS. FONTIER: That's correct, your Honor. 16 those --17 THE COURT: All right. 18 MS. FONTIER: -- can be removed as well. 19 THE COURT: Well --20 MS. FONTIER: Okay. They cannot be removed. 21 THE COURT: Well, two of them can be removed, but 22 we'll just leave them in as long as jurors know to line 23 through pages 7 and 8 of Exhibit TT-171. Those portions were 24 not read and therefore they are not in evidence. Okay. 25 We're going back now to --

```
MS. FONTIER: Defense Exhibit TT-123, call between
 1
 2
    Mr. Moalin and Issa Doreh. I will continue on as Mr. Moalin.
 3
               MR. GHAPPOUR: And I'll be Issa Doreh.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay.
 5
               MS. FONTIER: The beginning portion was read into
 6
    evidence by the government. The portion that was -- is being
 7
    introduced by the defense begins in the middle of page 2.
          (The transcription was read.)
 8
               MS. FONTIER: And then the government's transcript
 9
10
    resumed for pages 4 and the top -- first half of page 5. The
11
     government -- the defense is introducing the call as it
12
     continues beginning on the bottom of page 5.
13
          (The transcription was read.)
14
               MR. GHAPPOUR: Your Honor, if I may just take up
     the binder from the evidence over here. The last block isn't
15
16
     on our copy.
17
               THE COURT: Okay.
18
          (The transcription was read.)
19
               MR. GHAPPOUR: Thank you, your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: You're welcome. Where are we now?
21
    many more do we have?
22
               MS. MORENO: Well, we have a number. I was going
23
    to read Exhibit TT-124, which involves my client and
24
    Mr. Moalin.
                  TT-124 --
25
               THE COURT: So there are several.
```

MS. MORENO: There are a number, yes, your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. What we'll do then is we'll take 3 our break at this time. Ladies and gentlemen, 15 minutes, 4 and we will resume thereafter. By the way, we may not be 5 going to 4:30 today; we might stop at about four o'clock. I 6 need to address a matter outside your presence, and so that 7 is the reason we would in all probability be stopping about 8 4:00. So it will be pretty close to three o'clock when we start, it will be about another hour for you this afternoon, 9 10 and then we recess for your purposes at about four o'clock. 11 Thank you. Remember the admonition. Gaby, why don't you leave that there for Ms. Clark and Ms. Clark can take her 12 13 recess at this time. Thank you, Ms. Clark. 14 (The jury left the courtroom.) 15 THE COURT: Okay. We are outside the presence of 16 all jurors. Not wanting to unduly prolong the process of 17 reading a transcript, I do have the rulings ready on the last 18 submission here. These transcripts were one TT-126, -128, -136, and then two that were not identified by exhibit 19 20 number. So, counsel, you ready for --21 MS. FONTIER: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: -- those? By the way, Mr. Cole, did you get a chance to -- you said that on 4 and 5 --23 24 MR. COLE: Yes. 25 THE COURT: -- the submissions under 4 and 5 --

MR. COLE: Yes. 1 2 THE COURT: -- you just received them, you hadn't 3 had an opportunity to look at them. 4 MR. COLE: Right. And they've since received 5 exhibit numbers, so I'll wait and offer Exhibit No. 140 with 6 the government's -- well, I'll at least confirm --7 THE COURT: Are you objecting to those? 8 MR. COLE: TT -- your Honor, number 5 on our chart 9 is TT-140-A, it's now marked as TT-140-A, and we withdraw our 10 objection as to that one. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MR. COLE: And on number 4 -- one second. That is 13 TT-148-A. THE COURT: 148-A? 14 MR. COLE: Yeah, I believe that's marked as 148-A 15 16 now, and Mr. Ghappour can correct me if I'm wrong -- I'm 17 trying to give them to you in the same convention they're 18 using now, not just the date and time. And we stand -- we're 19 still pressing our objection on that one. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Well, you know, I saw those two 21 together pretty much similar, TT-148-A. I'll overrule the 22 objection on that. I had them both overruled and essentially 23 for the same -- on the same rationale. 24 With respect to TT-126, I would overrule the

objection. I understand that parts of it -- I sure wish

25

these could have been edited. I don't know that much is lost if some of the material that's just clearly irrelevant is just left off, but in any event, I think this is okay. This is once again news from the front in general status, a general status report of developments in the area. There's been plenty of those, and this just appears to be a continuation of that kind of reporting.

I have the second exhibit there, TT-128, overruled for whatever probative value it may have. Once again just discussing general developments in the area, other subject matters that have been previously allowed as subject areas for evidence.

On TT-136, it is -- the objection there would be sustained as to defendant Moalin's statements Re: Farah Yare and their past contact is hearsay, and it's not relevant under either 404 (b) or 803 (3); that's on pages 2 and 3. And then it would be overruled with respect to the material on pages 8 and 9 because that's a continuation of a conversation with Sheikalow. Those would be the rulings.

MR. DRATEL: Your Honor, if we don't get to that one today, the other one, we'll look to try to edit it.

THE COURT: If you could, yeah. A lot of it's pretty deadly stuff.

MR. DRATEL: I hadn't realized we left in the comparison of how they were faring with their affairs, the

```
end of that conversation. I didn't realize we had left that
 1
 2
     in.
 3
               THE COURT: Yeah. There's some other stuff too
 4
     about dental exams or whatever, medical appointments.
 5
               MR. DRATEL: We'll work to do that, your Honor.
 6
               THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. So what's the plan, to
 7
    read transcripts here from 3:00 to 4:00 or --
 8
               MR. DRATEL: Sorry, your Honor.
 9
               THE COURT: Reading transcripts from 3:00 to 4:00;
10
    is that basically going to be it?
11
               MR. DRATEL: We have about five transcripts to
     read, so I don't know how long --
12
13
               THE COURT: How much deposition testimony do we
14
    have left?
15
               MR. DRATEL: We have one more deposition, just the
16
     direct --
17
               MS. FONTIER: The final deposition is the one we
18
    were discussing at sidebar too, Hassan Guled. It's the
19
    second lengthiest one; it's maybe two and a half hours total.
20
    But I did -- I had just notified Mr. Cole that we are in the
21
    process of reviewing the portions that he wishes to take out
22
    and much of it we will agree to but not all of it.
23
               THE COURT: Okay. And the LSUs are pouring over
24
    that as we speak?
25
               MR. DRATEL: Well, our LSUs, which is us.
```

MR. COLE: They deleted everything I asked them to but we might to put some back based on -- based on what counsel gets back to me on.

THE COURT: Wish I had a couple of LSUs.

MS. FONTIER: You and me both, Judge.

MR. DRATEL: Yeah. But what Ms. Fontier and I have been through and then we passed it to other counsel -- which is why we delayed putting on the deposition because we want to get all that underway with the government before we move forward. So once all counsel have just passed on -- there are at least three or four sections that the government has designated that we can do without.

THE COURT: Okay. And you're working together on instructions or has that started yet?

MR. DRATEL: Yes, we started over lunch, your

Honor, and I think we made -- I would say we made good

progress on a number -- let's put it this way: We identified

certain issues that we have been able to agree on, we

identified a couple of issues that we've been unable into

agree on that we'll revisit again later after court, and then

we'll tackle some of the -- sort of the -- what we didn't

tackle were the substantive instructions on the counts

themselves because of time pressures, but that we'll do after

court; that's --

MR. COLE: Well, we only had -- we did everything

nonsubstantive. All of the instructions normally with the 1 2 elements we got to, but we ran out of time before court. So 3 we'll -- tonight we'll pick up the stuff that matters. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MR. DRATEL: And most of that is straightforward in 6 the sense that I don't think that it will present complicated 7 issues. It just may be a choice of one set of language or 8 another. 9 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, good. 10 don't you take ten minutes or so, and then we'll resume. 11 (There was a break in the proceedings.) 12 THE COURT: Okay. We have everyone present, and we 13 are ready to continue on. 14 MR. DRATEL: Yes, your Honor. Thank you. Just 15 one -- I'd like to go back to 171 for a moment. And I 16 apologize to the juror who did not have 171, but let's go 17 back to page 9. And when we eliminated pages 7 and 8, we 18 inadvertently also eliminated the beginning of page 9, which 19 we're going to keep in until the defense portion stops, and 20 I'll just read those four lines myself. 21 (The transcription was read.) 22 MR. DRATEL: And then the defense portion ends, the 23 government portion resumes. 24 THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. MORENO: Thank you, your Honor. If the jurors

25

```
could kindly turn to TT-124. Back to the beginning, TT-124.
 1
 2
     This is a conversation dated December 28, 2007 and it is a
     conversation where my client is one of the speakers, and we
 3
 4
    begin on the bottom of page 2.
 5
          (The transcription was read.)
 6
               MS. MORENO: And that is the end of that portion.
 7
     The next conversation is MMM-1, MMM-1. This is a call that
 8
     the government did not introduce. It is dated February 9,
 9
     2009 -- 2008, excuse me -- between Mr. Moalin and
10
    Mr. Mohamud, my client.
          (The transcription was read.)
11
12
               MS. MORENO: I'm sorry, your Honor. It seems that
13
     some of the jurors might be having problems.
14
               THE COURT:
                           Yes.
15
               JUROR JOHNSON: Yeah, I don't have that page,
16
     whatever you're reading off of.
17
               JUROR DELANEY: Yeah, under MM-1.
18
               THE COURT: Do you have MMM-1?
19
               JUROR DELANEY: I have MMM-1, page 2, but it's not
20
     saying any of that.
21
               THE COURT: Okay. What I suggest is this then,
22
     just listen to the reading, and then if counsel will make a
23
    note as to which pages need to be -- which additional pages
24
    need to be provided -- how many people are missing page 2?
25
               JUROR JOHNSON: I'm missing the complete MM-1.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. You're missing all of MM-1. And
 1
 2
    is anyone else missing all of MM-1? If these -- I see no
 3
    hands raised. Okay. Page 2? Do we have anybody missing
 4
    page 2, just page 2?
 5
               JUROR DELANEY: Well, it's the wrong page 2.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. So we have Ms. Delaney missing
 7
    page 2. We have Mr. Johnson missing page 2. So we're going
 8
    to need a couple of extra pages 2. And then page 3, anybody
 9
    missing page 3? Mr. Johnson, are you --
10
               JUROR JOHNSON: I'm sorry. I'm missing MMM-2.
               THE COURT: Oh, okay. You're not missing MMM-1,
11
    you're missing MMM-2?
12
13
               JUROR JOHNSON: Yes, sir.
14
               THE COURT: When you say -- you mean page 2 or the
15
    exhibit MMM-2?
16
               JUROR JOHNSON: Exhibit MMM-2, your Honor.
17
              MS. MORENO: There are two MMM exhibits, MMM-1,
18
     your Honor, and MMM-2, which is further down into the
19
    binder --
20
               THE COURT: Okay.
21
              MS. MORENO: -- after a few numbers, exhibits.
22
               THE COURT: Okay. I don't see MMM-2 myself, but
23
    that may just be an oversight. Maybe it's --
24
              MS. MORENO: If I may, your Honor, if I may just
25
    put this on the Elmo because it seems that there are
```

different pages --1 2 THE COURT: Yeah. 3 MS. MORENO: -- missing. And if I may read the 4 whole conversation. 5 THE COURT: That's fine. And for those of you, 6 just note what you're missing from your notebook as you see 7 what's on the -- what's on the screen you don't have, okay, so we'll trust you to make sure that you're identifying 8 anything you don't have as you see it on the screen. 9 Counsel, may I ask you to do one thing, and that is 10 11 without indicating that the government did not introduce 12 this, you might just indicate that any new reading or any 13 part of a reading that was already read which you're doing 14 now, just indicate it's not in evidence until now. 15 MS. MORENO: That's fine, your Honor. This call is not in evidence but now it is in evidence. 16 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MS. MORENO: Thank you. If I may begin from the beginning, your Honor? 19 20 THE COURT: I'm sorry? Yes, go ahead. 21 MS. MORENO: If I may start from page 1. 22 THE COURT: Just got a note, MMM-2 is behind 23 Exhibit 144? Yeah, okay. I see. The tab 144 may cover the tab MMM-2, okay? That might be the problem there. 24 25 MS. MORENO: Okay. May I?

```
THE COURT: Sure.
 1
 2
              MS. MORENO: We'll do the best we can, your Honor.
 3
     Thank you. Just to save time, I'm not going to go back and
 4
     forth for the unknown male and Basaaly; I'll just begin.
 5
     Unknown male is my client.
 6
          (The transcription was read.)
 7
               MS. MORENO: And then the rest of it, your Honor,
 8
     is about income tax, and I think we can do without that --
 9
               THE COURT: Okay.
10
              MS. MORENO: -- if that's all right with the Court.
11
               THE COURT: All right.
12
              MS. MORENO: Thank you.
13
               THE COURT: So what -- you're suggesting that the
14
    part from "tomorrow I will file income tax" just be redacted?
15
               MS. MORENO: Yes, your Honor. "How is your plan
16
     for tomorrow" starting --
17
               THE COURT: Okay.
18
              MS. MORENO: -- with Basaaly. I believe the --
               THE COURT: That can be redacted, the balance of
19
20
     that call eliminated from the evidence. Thank you.
21
               MS. MORENO: Thank you, your Honor.
22
               MR. GHAPPOUR: Your Honor, I've got an extra 171
23
    here, and we could probably just pass that up, TT-171.
24
               THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you bring it forward
25
    to the CRD.
```

```
MR. GHAPPOUR: Should I remove the two pages that
 1
 2
     your Honor struck? Should I remove the two pages that your
 3
    Honor struck?
 4
               MS. FONTIER: That were not read, pages 7 and 8.
 5
               THE COURT: Okay.
                                 Yeah.
 6
               MS. FONTIER: Your Honor, the next exhibit that
 7
    we'll be reading then is Defense Exhibit TT-140. This is
 8
     dated February 9 of 2008 and is a call between Basaaly Moalin
    and Issa Doreh.
 9
10
               THE COURT: And part of that already is in
11
     evidence. You'll be reading the part on page 2, the top half
12
     of page 2 or two-thirds of that?
13
              MR. GHAPPOUR: Yes, your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: Okay.
15
              MS. FONTIER: Correct, your Honor. And beginning
16
    with Basaaly.
17
          (The transcription was read.)
18
               THE COURT: Okay. Next?
19
               MS. FONTIER: The next transcript that will be read
20
     is Defense Exhibit TT-140-A. This is February -- this call
21
    was not previously in evidence. This is a call between --
22
    dated February -- did I say this already? -- February 18,
23
     2008 between Basaaly Moalin, Sahal, and Issa Doreh.
24
               MR. GHAPPOUR: I will read both Sahal and Issa
25
    Doreh. Just make sure everyone is flipped to the correct
```

```
1
    page.
 2
               MS. FONTIER: It begins with operator, which we
 3
    will be skipping, but beginning on the second line on page 2
 4
    with Sahal.
 5
          (The transcription was read.)
 6
               THE COURT: Next?
 7
               MS. MORENO: Yes. This would be MMM-2, which I
 8
    believe is after TT-144. This is a call that took place on
 9
    February 22, 2008. This is a new call in evidence.
10
               THE COURT: Okay. Everyone -- Ms. Lopez, are you
11
    missing something?
12
               JUROR LOPEZ: MMM-2.
13
               THE COURT: You're missing the whole thing? Okay.
14
    We need a new MMM-2 for Ms. Lopez. Anybody have an MMM-2
15
     over there? Well, here --
16
               MR. COLE: Your Honor, we'll give her ours.
17
               THE COURT: I was going to offer mine. It's --
18
               MS. ROBERTS: I'll give this one, Judge.
19
               THE COURT: How many copies do you have over there,
20
     one for each of you or --
               MR. COLE: We'll get another copy later.
21
22
               THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Cole.
23
               MS. FONTIER: We're keeping notes on all of our
24
    errors, and we'll make sure that everyone -- all the copies
25
     are complete tomorrow morning.
```

```
THE COURT: Well, it's nice to know we're all
 1
 2
    human.
 3
              MS. FONTIER: Very much so in this case. Okay.
 4
               THE COURT: Ms. Lopez, are you ready to go?
 5
               JUROR LOPEZ: Ready to go.
 6
               THE COURT: Ready to go. Okay.
 7
              MS. MORENO: Thank you.
 8
              MS. FONTIER: This begins with Basaaly.
 9
          (The transcription was read.)
10
               MS. MORENO: And, your Honor, I think the rest of
11
    it is not relevant; it is about dinner and someone else.
12
               THE COURT: Okay. Well, all right. Let's redact
13
     that. Let's redact that right now. So do you need any of
14
     page 6 except the -- do you need the top line there?
15
               MS. MORENO: Just the top line, your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: Just the top line on page 6, beginning
17
    reference -- the first reference to unknown male through the
18
    rest of page 6 is out, and then page 7 as well --
19
              MS. MORENO: Thank you.
20
               THE COURT: -- has been eliminated. Okay. Next?
21
              MS. MORENO: Thank you.
22
              MS. FONTIER: If I just may confer with counsel.
23
    We have one additional, your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: Okay.
25
              MS. FONTIER: We are going to Defense Exhibit
```

```
This call is not previously in evidence. It's
 1
 2
     dated July 22 of 2008. The participants in the call are
 3
    Basaaly Moalin and Issa Doreh.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay.
 5
               MS. FONTIER: The call begins with Basaaly.
 6
          (The transcription was read.)
 7
               MS. FONTIER: Okay. And that is the end of that
 8
    recording, your Honor.
 9
               THE COURT: Okay. Any further recordings at this
10
     time?
11
               MS. FONTIER: I don't believe so, your Honor, but
12
     let me check with everyone, make sure I'm not speaking
13
     incorrectly on behalf of others.
14
               THE COURT: Please.
               MS. FONTIER: Your Honor, pursuant to the Court's
15
16
    earlier ruling, there are two additional transcripts that
17
    were not read.
18
               THE COURT: Okay.
19
               MS. FONTIER: Well, two and a half I'm being
20
     informed.
21
               THE COURT: Are they -- you're going to make up
22
    separate transcripts for those?
23
               MS. FONTIER: They're in --
24
               MR. DRATEL: Oh, we'll do the third.
25
               THE COURT: Just want to make sure they're in the
```

```
same format, counsel, so when they're given to the jury, they
 1
 2
     can just slip them into the notebooks if they're not already
 3
     there, okay?
 4
               MR. DRATEL: They're already there, your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: All right.
 6
               MS. FONTIER: Your Honor, the first is Defense
 7
    Exhibit TT-126, December 29 call between Basaaly and Najib.
 8
     The beginning portion was entered in evidence already, so we
     will --
 9
10
               MR. DRATEL: Starting at page 3.
11
               MS. FONTIER: -- begin at the middle of page 3.
12
               THE COURT: Very good. Let people get there. It's
13
     TT-126, page 3.
14
               MR. DRATEL: I'll be Najib and Ms. Fontier will be
15
    Basaaly.
16
          (The transcription was read.)
17
               MS. FONTIER: And that is the end of this
18
    recording. The next transcript is Defense Exhibit TT-128,
19
     December 30 call between Basaaly and an unknown male.
20
    beginning portions were introduced into evidence previously.
21
    We'll be now introducing beginning at the bottom of page 3.
22
               THE COURT: Okay.
23
               MS. FONTIER: Mr. Dratel will be unknown male 2 and
24
    unknown male.
25
          (The transcription was read.)
```

MS. FONTIER: And that's the end of the recording. 1 2 Your Honor, if we -- the final call will be Defense Exhibit 3 TT-136, which begins January 20 -- which is dated January 20, 4 2008. The beginning portion, pages 1 -- or 2 and 3 will not 5 be introduced, so we are skipping past those. 6 THE COURT: Well, all right. If we're skipping 7 past those and at the bottom of page 2, that part of it is 8 already in evidence, Government's 136, so then it would appear we can -- the jurors can remove pages 1 and 2 from 9 10 their notebooks. 11 MS. FONTIER: Yes, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. So may I ask jurors to remove 13 pages 1 and 2 at this time from TT-136. Very good. Just 14 drop them on the floor or anywhere you'd like. 15 MS. FONTIER: Your Honor, I believe that your Honor 16 ruled that the portions by the defense that begins on 4 and 17 goes to 5 --18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 MS. FONTIER: -- was admissible as also pages 20 starting at page 8; is that correct? 21 THE COURT: I don't have them correlated to what 22 you have here. 23 MR. COLE: That's fine, your Honor, with the 24 government.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. We'll proceed.

```
MS. FONTIER: So then in TT-136 --
 1
 2
               THE COURT: You're starting on page 4?
 3
               MR. COLE: We have a question.
 4
               JUROR CHANNELL: You asked us to remove page 1.
 5
     Page 1's the cover sheet.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. Well --
 7
               MR. DRATEL: Page 2 and 3.
 8
               THE COURT: Okay. Yes, pages 2 and 3. Very good I
 9
     appreciate that, Mr. Channell.
10
               MR. COLE: That was number 2.
11
               MR. DRATEL: So 2 and 3.
               THE COURT: The first two pages of transcribed
12
13
    material. Okay. Thanks, Mr. Channell.
14
               MS. FONTIER: To be clear, we will begin reading on
15
     what is numbered as page 4 in the bottom right-hand corner --
16
               THE COURT: Okay.
17
              MS. FONTIER: In deceptively small text.
18
               THE COURT: Very good.
              MR. DRATEL: It's January 20, 2008. I will be
19
20
     Sheikalow, Ms. Fontier will be Basaaly.
21
          (The transcription was read.)
22
               MS. FONTIER: And then the pages -- the following
23
    pages 5, 6, and 7, and the top of page 8 were previously
24
    introduced, so we'll be continuing at the bottom of page 8.
25
     This is Basaaly.
```

```
(The transcription was read.)
 1
 2
               MS. FONTIER: And then the portions on 10, 11 -- 10
 3
    and 11 were previously introduced, so we'll be continuing on
 4
     page 12.
 5
          (The transcription was read.)
 6
               MS. FONTIER: And then the portion introduced by
 7
     the -- previously introduced continues on pages 13 and 14,
    and the call continues on page 14 with Sheikalow.
 8
          (The transcription was read.)
 9
               MS. FONTIER: And then the call was that was
10
11
    previously introduced resumed, 16, 17, and 18, and then
12
     there's just a small portion on the bottom of 18 that is
13
    being introduced now.
14
          (The transcription was read.)
15
               THE COURT: Is that it?
16
               MS. FONTIER: I believe that at least resolves our
17
    reading from our big binder.
18
               THE COURT: All right. Are there any further
19
    transcripts, counsel? We've got them all?
20
               MR. DURKIN: We'll have two more. Do you want to
21
     do them in the morning or --
22
               THE COURT: Why don't we do them in the morning.
23
    It's been along day, and I know the jury's been concentrating
24
    very carefully, so we'll stop for today, ladies and
25
     gentlemen, recess for your purposes, resume tomorrow at 9
```

```
Please remember the admonition not to discuss the case
 1
 2
     or make any decisions at this time. Thank you.
 3
          (The jury left the courtroom.)
 4
               THE COURT: Well, hopefully -- we're outside the
 5
    presence of all jurors. Hopefully Ms. Franklin is out --
 6
               MR. DRATEL: Shall I go look for her, your Honor?
 7
               THE COURT: Mr. Cole, why don't you check it out.
 8
    In any event, if she is, I'm going to need the courtroom.
 9
    Mr. Ghappour, if you could stay, however -- you're more or
    less the representative I think of the defense on this -- and
10
11
    if Ms. Franklin is here with this individual, I'll need to go
12
    in camera, but I'd like you to be standing by if you don't
13
    mind.
14
              MR. GHAPPOUR: I'll be here.
15
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Appreciate it. I
16
     don't know that we need your clients here at this point,
17
     ladies and gentlemen; I don't think we're going to be doing
18
    anything substantive on the record out of --
19
              MR. COLE: Your Honor, do you want her and her
20
     client?
21
               THE COURT: Yes, shortly. Just have them wait
22
    outside if you could.
               MR. COLE: Have her wait outside?
23
24
               THE COURT: Yeah, just for a moment. Thank you,
25
    Mr. Cole. Okay. So we have -- tomorrow we have
```

```
deposition -- we have more deposition --
 1
 2
               MR. DRATEL: We'll start with a live witness, your
 3
    Honor, and then we'll --
               THE COURT: A live witness?
 4
 5
               MR. DRATEL: Yes.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. I assume that I don't need --
 7
    there's no screening of the witness, I don't need to address
 8
    any issues.
 9
               MR. DRATEL: I don't think so. It's a fact
10
    witness, your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: A fact witness, so you have Mister --
12
     you have this live witness coming in and then --
13
               MR. DRATEL: And then the Sheikalow deposition. I
14
    have our edits or agreed --
15
               THE COURT: Okay. Good.
16
               MR. DRATEL: -- to give to the government here.
17
               THE COURT: Good. And what other evidence are you
18
    anticipating at this point?
19
               MR. DRATEL: Oh, yes. We have that CIPA Section 5,
20
     which I think we've resolved, and that should be ready by the
21
    morning.
22
               THE COURT: Very good.
23
               MR. DRATEL: And two calls from Bashir.
24
               THE COURT: That's it? So by your estimate we
25
    should finish with the evidence tomorrow, as you predicted?
```

```
MR. DRATEL: I think so. I think so. I don't know
 1
 2
    how much cross they'll have of Mr. Guled, but -- two
 3
     different Guleds; there's Abdi Salam Guled and Hassan Guled,
 4
    not related. Hassan Guled is the deposition.
 5
               THE COURT: Right.
 6
               MR. DRATEL: And I don't know how much
 7
     cross-examination they'll have. I think my direct -- I'm not
 8
     sure, I'm still working on it -- but probably at least an
 9
    hour, hopefully not much more than that, but I don't know.
10
               THE COURT: And the deposition testimony is --
              MR. DRATEL: Two and change.
11
12
               THE COURT: Even with the edits?
13
              MR. DRATEL: Probably.
14
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
15
              MR. DRATEL: It's a guess.
16
               THE COURT: Well, sounds like a pretty full day.
17
               MR. DRATEL: That's -- yeah, that's why I always
18
     said either Wednesday or early Thursday.
19
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then why don't we
20
     call it a day for all of you. I know Mr. Ghappour is going
21
     to wait around, and if there's nothing else I need to
22
    address, I would -- it would be appreciated if you could
23
     start working on jury instructions, getting to the
24
    substantive instructions, okay? Mr. Cole, anything further?
25
              MR. COLE: No, so we will -- okay, we'll work with
```

them again this evening on jury instructions, and when do we want -- when are you -- do you have thoughts on when we'll be seeing you about jury instructions or when that will come to a head?

THE COURT: Well, from what I'm hearing, that would be Thursday --

MR. COLE: Okay.

THE COURT: -- because we're going to be tied up with evidence all day tomorrow, sounds like, either very close to all day tomorrow or into Thursday. So I would think -- I would think Thursday morning. Tell me what your concerns are. Maybe I can help.

MR. COLE: No, no, no, I don't have any concerns about it. I think that's as soon as we can start working on that with you, your Honor. I think that's right. I don't have any concerns about that. I was wondering what we were going to do the rest of Thursday, if we could --

THE COURT: Well, there are a couple of possibilities. I don't know if there will be a rebuttal case that you're contemplating. If you are, then that could follow on Thursday. If it looks like -- well, we would be bringing the jury back Thursday morning to complete any evidence that could not be completed tomorrow. It may be that we'd let the jury go early on Thursday and roll up our sleeves on jury instructions, which means we'd come back on

1 Tuesday.

MR. COLE: Okay.

THE COURT: So that's about the best I can predict given the estimates you're giving me. Anything further we need to cover now?

MR. COLE: Do you need any of us for this issue with Mr. Ghappour?

THE COURT: No, no. The way to do that -- the way to do that, I need to see him in an in-camera setting.

MR. COLE: Okay.

THE COURT: I need to inquire -- Mr. Ghappour has been kind enough, as I've said earlier, to provide the Court with the proposed examination, and I need to establish on the record that in fact there's an invocation. I'll see if it's an invocation across the board or if it's something less than that. And if it's an invocation across the board pursuant to my inquiry, then if I make certain findings, I'll seal that record and it will be preserved, obviously; if there's no invocation or if there's another option, then I will explore that, and I'll certainly advise you. And it's my intention to issue some kind of a written order on this, assuming that there's an invocation. I think the preliminary indication from Ms. Franklin through Mr. Ghappour to all of us was that there was going -- he was going to invoke across the board. All right.

The only -- well, let me think about this. The only other thing you can do -- and you might do this in the form of a written submission, Mr. Cole; I'm not asking for any magnum opus here -- but you could put in writing your prosecution against the owner of Shidaal -- I know he wasn't called as a witness in this case, you have no intention of calling him as a witness -- but basically give me a status report on the government's prosecution of Shidaal Express, whether it's still an open case or not. And you've gotten a copy -- you've gotten a copy of the proposed examination from the defense and how that would impact, if any, decisions on the part of the government. So at least there will be some record of the government's position vis-a-vis this individual.

MR. COLE: The person you were inquiring about is a different individual.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. COLE: In other words, the -- you were asking us to state something about the status of the prosecution of Mohamud Ahmed I believe, who's not the individual represented by Ms. Franklin.

THE COURT: I know that.

MR. COLE: Okay. So all you want is a status of the other individual; that's what you want?

THE COURT: The status of the government's

```
prosecution against --
 1
 2
               MR. COLE: This other person.
 3
               THE COURT: -- Shidaal, the other person -- wasn't
    he the owner of Shidaal?
 4
 5
               MR. COLE: Yes.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. -- and whether that case is open
 7
    or not, under what circumstances it could be reopened, I
 8
     think that will be helpful. You can put that in the form of
    a -- just a straightforward declaration I would think.
 9
10
               MR. COLE: But not addressing -- I would think not
11
    in a public format addressing --
12
               THE COURT: No.
13
              MR. COLE: -- this person's status, right?
14
               THE COURT: No.
15
              MR. COLE: Okay. I just wanted to confirm that.
16
               THE COURT: And if you'd like, you can submit that
17
    under seal --
18
               MR. COLE: Okay.
               THE COURT: -- because I'll be making findings, and
19
20
     it may be that I'll issue an order, one order, which would be
21
     unsealed referring to a sealed order. So it's got to start
22
     somewhere; we'll start with this gentleman coming in.
23
               MR. COLE: Thank you, your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: Okay. And I'll look forward to your
25
    submission when you get a chance, Mr. Cole.
```

MR. COLE: Okay. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to need the courtroom, 3 so I need everyone to clear with the exception of Mr. 4 Ghappour, who will remain. Mr. Ghappour, if you can just 5 remain outside -- well, initially here until I need to go in 6 camera with Ms. Franklin and her client, okay? 7 MR. GHAPPOUR: I understand --THE COURT: Did you have a question? Come on 8 9 forward. (Following is a sidebar conference.) 10 MR. GHAPPOUR: So I should be outside initially, 11 then come back? I've never done this before. 12 13 THE COURT: I know. Initially why don't you remain 14 here. I just need to inquire as to the status through Ms. 15 Franklin --16 MR. GHAPPOUR: I understand. 17 THE COURT: -- of what her client -- obviously her 18 client's going to follow her advice; there's no indication to conclude otherwise -- but then I need to inquire a little bit 19 20 further, and I need to do that in an in-camera setting. And 21 if you'd just, you know, hang back so that I can get you back 22 in, I can give you a -- the overall status where we are on 23 that, and that would be about it. And then I would issue an 24 order at some point, two orders, as I indicated previously.

But I need to get a few things on the record relative to

what's going to happen with this gentleman. 1 2 MR. GHAPPOUR: I understand. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. GHAPPOUR: One quick question. So anything on 5 my end with respect to the validity of the invocation, the 6 waiver based on past interactions with the FBI, interviews, 7 statements given and lack of prosecution is not something --8 is that something that your Honor would expect of me today 9 or --10 THE COURT: No, I wouldn't expect that of you 11 today. 12 MR. GHAPPOUR: I'm prepared. THE COURT: Yeah. Well, that's the second part, as 13 14 you know, if we get that far. And if you want to put 15 something on the record, you can put something on the record 16 perhaps if you feel like you're ready to go. I don't know 17 what kind of a statement you will make, but I may have some 18 questions for you too. That's pretty much it. We'll need to play it by ear and see how things evolve. Okay? 19 20 MR. GHAPPOUR: Wonderful. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. 22 (Sidebar conference concludes.) THE COURT: We are on the record here, and we have 23 Mr. Ghappour present. No one else is present in the 24 25 courtroom. Mr. Ghappour, may I prevail upon you to invite

```
Ms. Franklin and her client into the courtroom?
 1
 2
              MR. GHAPPOUR: Yes, your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Franklin --
 4
              MS. FRANKLIN: Good afternoon, your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: -- it is so good to see you.
 6
              MR. FRANKLIN: Thank you. So good to be here.
 7
    Good to be seen these days.
               THE COURT: Okay. Would you introduce me, please,
 8
 9
    to your client.
10
               MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor. Before the Court
11
    is Mr. Hussen. How do you spell your first and last name?
12
              MR. HUSSEN: A-b-d-i A-z-i-z.
13
               THE COURT: Okay. We have before us Abdi Aziz
14
    Hussen. First name is spelled A-b-d-i A-z-i-z, last name
15
    Hussen, H-u-s-s-e-i-n. Is that a correct spelling, sir?
16
              MR. HUSSEN: It's e-n.
17
               THE COURT: Oh, there's no "I"?
18
              MR. HUSSEN: There's no "I."
19
               THE COURT: Okay. So it should be H-u-s-s-e-n?
20
              MR. HUSSEN: Correct.
21
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Ms. Franklin,
2.2
    let me explain exactly where we are in this particular matter
23
    involving your client. I assume you're generally aware of
24
    this case, the charges in this case against the four
25
     defendants and the relationship of the Shidaal Express in
```

this case, specifically Shidaal Express. There's evidence that Shidaal Express was the entity through which monies were transfered from certain individuals in this case to Somalia via the hawala structure. You're aware of all of that I assume.

MS. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.

2.2

THE COURT: Okay. The reason apparently Mr.

Ghappour, who represents one of the defendants in this case,

defendant Issa Doreh, who is listed as the third defendant,

you know, the reason we're here is Mr. Ghappour has

subpoenaed your client apparently to testify as a witness in

this matter. And he has been kind enough to prepare for the

Court a Notice of Intention to Examine Witness. He did that

after I asked Mr. Ghappour to prepare this document so that I

would have a little bit of a roadmap as to the types of

questions, if your client were to be called to the stand and

agreed to testify, would be asked of your client. Have you

seen this Notice of Intention to Examine Witness?

MR. FRANKLIN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to -- this is the way
I'd like to proceed at this point. For the record I'm going
to read in the questions that Mr. Ghappour for himself and
others -- not just his own client but I'm sure for the
co-defendants as well -- would want to ask your client Mr.
Hussen, I want both you and Mr. Hussen to listen very

carefully, and then I'm going to ask you a couple of questions, Ms. Franklin. All right?

First of all, these are the questions that Mr.

Ghappour has proffered. I would state that several of these questions, particularly at the end, are objectionable because they run afoul of particular rules of evidence, but here we go.

Mr. Ghappour would want to question Mr. Hussen's dealings with Mr. Moalin, who is the first defendant in this case, specifically A, whether Mr. Hussen transfered money for Mr. Moalin about once per week; B, whether Mr. Hussen was in contact with Mr. Moalin on a regular basis regarding money transactions from the Shidaal Express; C, whether Mr. Hussen conducted the indictment transactions for Mr. Moalin; D, whether he understood references to "the issue we all know about," to mean "drought relief" and/or "those men" to mean "people that were displaced by the fighting"; E, whether he suggested that Mr. Moalin break his transactions into small amounts and use a fake sender name; and F, whether this was a common practice for all hawalas in the San Diego area.

The next group of questions Mr. Ghappour would -has proffered and would ask your client would be as follows:

A, whether your client learned that defendant Doreh was
sending money to Somalia to support members of the Hawiye
tribe, who had been displaced by the ongoing war; whether Mr.

Doreh would typically not understand things said to him; C, whether during the height of the Ethiopian occupation of Somalia defendants Issa Doreh and Mohamad Mohamad Mohamud approached your client about sending money to "Yatin" in Somalia; and D, whether Messrs. Doreh and Mohamud used the word "Yatin" to mean those affected by the war in Somalia and those displaced or in need.

The third area that Mr. Ghappour has identified in his notice would relate to policy for money transfers at the Shidaal Express, and specifically he would ask the following questions: A, whether entry of transfers was delayed and/or omitted entirely by your client; B, whether anyone other than your client and Shidaal owner Mohamud Abdi Ahmed had the ability to discount and/or waive transfer fees; C, whether it was Amal Express policy to discount and/or waive transfer fees for charitable donations; D, who worked at the Shidaal Express; E, which employees could log into the Amal Express website to transmit remittances; F, whether all transactions at the Shidaal Express required showing of photo ID; and G, what process was used to transmit and/or log remittances.

The fourth area Mr. Ghappour has proffered would relate to instruction and training at the Shidaal, and he specifically would ask the questions as follows: A, whether Donna Locsin, L-o-c-s-i-n, of Dollar American Exchange, also known as DAX, D-A-X, provided your client with training on

money laundering prevention, OFAC, O-F-A-C, regulations and/or FTO designations, foreign terrorist designations; B, whether DAX provided Mr. Hussen, your client, with lists of individuals who were not allowed to transfer money; and C, whether your client or anyone at the Shidaal trained Mr. Doreh with respect to money laundering, OFAC lists, and/or FTO designations.

And the last area that Mr. Ghappour identified for questions regards the custom of the Somali community with respect to remittances, and specifically he would wish to ask A, whether your client felt that Somali Americans were generally paranoid about the United States with respect to the transfer of money; and B, whether many Somalis in San Diego would generally divide large remittance transactions into small amounts and/or use fake names and phone numbers. That concludes the Notice of Intention to Examine the Witness.

If you have these questions or the subject areas of the proposed examination in mind, I could -- I would be happy to ask you a few questions at this point with Mr. Ghappour present. If you would like to see the notice itself before I ask you those questions and confer with your client, I would be happy to show you my copy of the notice.

MR. FRANKLIN: No, that's fine, your Honor. We're prepared.

```
THE COURT: You're prepared? Okay.
 1
 2
               MR. FRANKLIN: Yes.
 3
               THE COURT: Well, first of all, let me ask you
 4
     this, Ms. Franklin. Do you have reason to believe that your
 5
     client is going to follow any advice that you would give him
 6
    with respect to testifying in this matter?
 7
               MS. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.
 8
               THE COURT: Is it your understanding that your
 9
     client would invoke his Fifth Amendment privilege against
     self-incrimination with respect to any or all of these
10
11
     questions?
12
              MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: With respect to any or all?
14
              MR. FRANKLIN: With respect to all, your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: Is it your recommendation -- would it
16
    be your recommendation to your client to answer any question
17
     other than his name if called to the witness stand?
18
               MR. FRANKLIN: That would be my advice is for him
    not to answer any questions other than his name, perhaps age,
19
20
     date of birth, something of that nature.
21
               THE COURT: Okay. And you've already conveyed this
22
    to your client; is that correct?
23
               MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: Okay. I wanted to ask your client some
25
    questions at this point, and this part of the proceedings I
```

```
1
     would -- I would seal -- I mean not up to this point, but
 2
     following Mr. Ghappour's departure from the courtroom
 3
     momentarily and temporarily, I would like to inquire of your
 4
     client and verify what you have told me and ask any other
 5
     appropriate question I need to ask at this point. Okay.
 6
               MR. FRANKLIN: Thank you.
 7
               THE COURT: Mr. Ghappour, may I ask you to wait
 8
     outside?
 9
               MR. GHAPPOUR: Absolutely.
               THE COURT: And we will be with you shortly.
10
11
               MR. GHAPPOUR: Thank you, your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: All right.
13
          (Mr. Ghappour left the courtroom.)
14
          (Sealed proceedings omitted from this transcript, page
15
     1649, line 15 through page 1659, line 2.)
16
     ////////////
17
     ////////////
18
     ////////////
19
     ////////////
20
     ////////////
21
     ///////////
22
    /////////////
23
    /////////////
24
     ////////////
25
     (Pages 1649 through 1658 encompassed on this page.)
```

/////////// 1 /////////// 2 (Ms. Franklin and Mr. Hussen left the courtroom; Mr. 3 4 Ghappour entered the courtroom.) 5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Ghappour, for 6 returning. 7 MR. GHAPPOUR: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: I've concluded that in-camera 8 9 proceeding with Mr. Hussen and his attorney, Ms. Franklin. don't know what you have in mind at this particular point in 10 11 time. It is my intention to issue an order ultimately as I indicated, at least one order in this matter and perhaps a 12 sealed order as well. If there's any further statement or 13 14 argument you'd like to make, anything you'd like me to consider further in addition to your notice of intention to 15 16 examine witness, please feel free to do so. 17 MR. GHAPPOUR: Your Honor, just a brief statement. 18 THE COURT: Sure. Absolutely. MR. GHAPPOUR: It is my belief that any invocation 19 20 of the Fifth with regards to the questions that I proffered 21 would be invalid specifically because these questions were 2.2 drawn from summary reports of interviews with the 23 authorities, with the FBI in particular, and I believe at 24 least one of these interviews the Assistant U.S. Attorney

Cole was present for part of the interview.

THE COURT: So you're referring to interviews between the government and Mr. Hussen?

MR. GHAPPOUR: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.2

MR. GHAPPOUR: And so I believe that that would make the invocation invalid because he voluntarily spoke with the authorities back then. I believe it was voluntary because I don't read otherwise on the 302. To the extent it's not voluntary -- excuse me -- to the extent it's not invalid, then it would be waived because of the very fact -- actually scratch that; I just remembered the law of waiver. So I think that it would be invalid for that reason.

THE COURT: Simply because he made a voluntary statement at some point or may have cooperated in the past, you think that one automatically loses the Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination?

MR. GHAPPOUR: I would need to research that point, but I think the two factors -- the one that as far back as November of 2010, the very same statement has come out from Mr. Abdi Aziz Hussen and there's been no prosecution since, it troubles me, your Honor. And so I think -- I think that his -- his invocation is invalid because had the government wanted to prosecute him for these statements or for the answers to these questions, they would have already.

THE COURT: So you don't think his invocation is

valid because any expectation of prosecution on his part 1 2 would be unreasonable? 3 MR. GHAPPOUR: Yes, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: And that's the basis of your desire to 5 call him at this point, that he could not assert a valid 6 Fifth Amendment claim or privilege? 7 MR. GHAPPOUR: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Well, I'll consider the argument. And 8 9 is there anything else you wish me to consider? 10 MR. GHAPPOUR: Well, to the extent that -- to the 11 extent he's been offered immunity by the government, to any extent that that may overlap with this investigation, the 12 13 Shidaal investigation and/or this particular case, that added 14 to the fact that much of his testimony in response to these questions could be directly contradictory to certain 15 16 testimony that was proffered in the cases -- in the 17 government's case-in-chief, I would like you to take that 18 into consideration as well for defense immunity. 19 THE COURT: Okay. In the event that immunity has 20 been offered to him? 21 MR. GHAPPOUR: Yes, your Honor. And then 22 finally -- this is something I need to do some research on, 23 but the notion of a -- to the extent that the government has 24 given him immunity, I would have to research the missing

25

witness instruction.

THE COURT: Well, okay. I don't --1 2 MR. GHAPPOUR: Far reaching, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: I know it's a far-reaching argument 4 you're making, but I appreciate that. And it's my 5 understanding that no immunity has been offered, Mr. 6 Ghappour; we're not dealing with that kind of a circumstance. 7 But I'll certainly take your argument into account. Again, I 8 appreciate the Notice of Intention to Examine Witness that you provided earlier. That gave me a real good template for 9 10 asking some questions to determine whether or not the 11 assertion of any privilege would be reasonable under the 12 circumstances, and I thank you again for that. 13 MR. GHAPPOUR: Thank you, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. All right. We'll close then for 15 today. And I'll be issuing an order. I know the government 16 wanted to submit something to me; you'll recall I asked them 17 to give me a short statement of the status of their case 18 vis-a-vis Shidaal, whether it's open, closed, what have you, 19 so they'll be doing that, then I'll issue something. 20 MR. GHAPPOUR: Thank you, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Have a good evening, Mr. 22 Ghappour. 23 MR. GHAPPOUR: You too, your Honor. 24 (There was a break in the proceedings for the evening 25 recess.)

	Case 3:10-cr-04246-JM Document 442 Filed 01/31/14	Page 61 of 62 166	3
1	<u>Index</u>		
2	Witnesses	Page	
3	Abukar Dahir (Suryare) Mohamed (Video)	1596	
4	Sheik Abdul Rahman Geedow Qorow (Video)	1597	
5	Osman Isse Nuur (video)	1607	
6			
7	<u>Exhibits</u>		
8	Exhibits Description WITHDRAWN	For ID In Evd	
9	204 WITHDRAWN AN-53-S1 Spreadsheet	1603 1605 1605	
10	AN-53-S1 Spreadsheet AN-53-S2 Spreadsheet	1605 1605	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
	II		

<u>Certificate of Reporter</u>

I hereby certify that I am a duly appointed, qualified, and acting Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the mentioned cause on the date or dates listed on the title page of the transcript; and that the format used herein complies with the rules and requirements of the United States Judicial Conference.

Dated January 22, 2014 at San Diego, California.

/s/ Debra M. Henson (electronic)
Debra M. Henson
Official Court Reporter